

STILL THEY POUR IN!

FOR YEARS, Government representatives have been assuring the British public that large-scale immigration into Britain has come to an end, and that the influx these days is being strictly controlled and limited to a small number of 'special cases', such as 'dependent' relatives of immigrants already here, refugees from political 'persecution', and so on. Indeed, it will be remembered that the Tories won the 1979 election, and took the power from which they have not been dislodged since, on promises of 'strict controls' — imposed to prevent the country being, in Mrs. Thatcher's own words, 'swamped' by alien cultures.

The latest figures to be released, however, shows that these promises and pledges have been cynically broken. The Government has been lying to the British public, claiming that the immigrant influx was on an increasingly smaller scale, whereas in fact the exact opposite has been happening.

The *Daily Mail* of March 22nd carried a report by the paper's Home Affairs Correspondent Anthony Doran entitled 'Big rush to build a new life in Britain'. The report stated that:-

"A big increase in the number of people from abroad making their homes in Britain was revealed in government figures published yesterday.

"The highest number for a quarter of a century — 145,000 — arrived in 1989, the latest year for which figures are available. And that figure was a steep 14 per-cent up on the previous year. The changing face of British society brought about by immigrants is chartered in a report from the Office of Population, Censuses and Surveys.

"It shows that the number of immigrants rose in 1989 by 18,000. Of these, around 10,000 arrived from the Indian sub-continent, including Pakistan and Bangladesh, the Caribbean, Malaysia and Africa."

The *Mail* correspondent did not give any information as to where the remaining 8,000 of the increase came from but it may safely be assumed that quite a large portion of these were Chinese from Hong Kong. In addition, he did not mention immigrants from the



FACE OF GUILT

Mrs. Thatcher, shown here, was Premier at the time the record new influx of immigrants took place in 1989. She clearly knew she was betraying the pledge she had previously made to Britain's electors.

Middle Eastern countries, of whom there has been a substantial number in recent years, particularly Iranians, Turks and Kurds. Taking into account the probability that new entrants from these groups were included, it is likely that a relatively small proportion of the balance in the increase were Euro-

peans.

The report went on to say that the 145,000 newcomers to Britain in 1989 were balanced by "around 83,000 British people who emigrated." Nevertheless, said Mr. Doran, "the 62,000 gap between those going and those arriving was the biggest for 22 years."

Indeed the fact that 83,000 British people went abroad to make way for the 145,000 mostly non-British who arrived will be of small comfort to those who recognise that it is the ethnic change brought about by immigration, rather than the factor of overcrowding, that constitutes the main menace in the process.

BETRAYAL OF PLEDGE

The year for which the *Mail* report gives figures, 1989, was of course one in which Mrs. Thatcher was still in office as Premier. There cannot be the slightest doubt that she was aware of what was happening. That she allowed it to happen indicates a quite cynical betrayal of the British electors, who voted her into office on the basis of her party's manifesto pledge that in future it would strictly control the flow of immigrants into this country.

In effect, Mrs. Thatcher and her Government were lying to the people.

Now that Mr. John Major is installed as Prime Minister in place of Mrs. Thatcher, can we expect any change in this policy? Indeed, if there is any change it is likely to be for the worse, with the rate of immigrants become even higher. Mr. Major has already gone on record as a firm believer in a multi-racial Britain. It is likely that under his premiership the flood of aliens into our country will reach unprecedented heights.

After all the promises of 'controls', latest figures show huge increase in immigrant influx

THE PROBLEMS OF IMMIGRATION



West Indians in Britain have established a large presence in many inner-city areas, and this has led to some tremendous problems. During the 1980s appalling race riots broke out in some of these areas, and these are continuing in the 1990s — though the media, deliberately no doubt, have given them much less coverage. The scene above is of a typical disorder involving young Blacks in London.



Asians of various ethnic and religious denominations have also brought special problems, many of them insisting that British laws and conventions be changed to accommodate their own beliefs and traditions. The picture above shows Sikhs marching in London carrying their ceremonial swords. Native Britons proceeding about in the same manner would no doubt be arrested for possession of offensive weapons!



In this picture Tamils are shown demonstrating their demand for asylum by undressing at London Airport shortly after arrival in Britain. Many of these ethnic groups from the Third World have persuaded the British authorities to let them settle here by means of claims of 'persecution' in their homelands, but the reality is that most of them are simply here because this is where the money is.

CHINESE FLOOD ON THE WAY?



On top of all the immense aggravations caused by the influx of many immigrant groups, the Government has recently given a pledge to the Chinese of Hong Kong which could result in up to a quarter of a million of them settling here. The picture on the left shows Hong Kong Chinese demonstrating for their 'right' to be granted British passports.

British Nationalist

No. 108 APRIL 1991

Editor: John Morse

THE KEY TO WHITE POWER

IF it showed nothing else conclusively, there was at least one lesson to be drawn from the Gulf War. Our technology — the technology of the White Man — used correctly and with a will, should be sufficient to give our race of people a decisive edge over any other race on earth.

Nobody would particularly question the intelligence of Arabs or, other things being equal, their courage. Yet when it came to facing up to western forces equipped with western hi-tech weaponry the Iraqis found themselves quite out of their depth.

Incapable of creating such technology for themselves — every single piece of armament they possessed having been supplied by non-Arab, almost wholly white, nations — they then went on to prove themselves proficient neither in handling such sophisticated weaponry as they possessed nor in the methods of organisation needed to make a modern army work.

The incompetence of the Iraqis was quickly exposed in combat against western forces. As their own forces were chewed up by western fire-power against which they could muster no effective response, their fighting men's morale swiftly collapsed.

It was rapidly proved that Arabs cannot play westerners at their own game. And what is true of Arabs must be at least as true of most, if not all, of the other races and peoples of Afro-Asia.

This should raise a few obvious questions in the minds of thinking Britons and other Whites.

The ease of military victory in the Gulf on account of all the factors mentioned above should lead us to ask why on earth we, the people who devised and who dispose of all those powerful means with such efficiency, should have been cringing, as we have been for so many years, before the spectre of the 'Third World'.

For much too long Britain and other western nations have been treating the tin-pot rulers of Afro-Asia as sacred figures, to be respected, listened to and even obeyed in the conduct of our global policies. This is in spite of the fact that these rulers preside over countries which more often than not cannot even feed themselves without our aid. Our politicians tell us, for example, that we have to act at the whim of African dictators, Indian Prime Ministers and the like to help bring down our racial kindred in South Africa, so that our type of order and civilisation can be replaced by the type of tyranny, corruption and poverty over which they and their ilk preside.

Worse, we have allowed the Third World to take over large parts of our own native lands.

The outcome of the Gulf conflict gives the lie to those who tell us that we Whites have no option but to lie down and die in the face of the coloured races. In fact nothing could be further from the truth. When we get our act together properly, the latter are incapable of imposing their will on us, wherever they may try.

It is only by our having allowed the 'liberals' and race traitors in our midst to make so many of us hag-ridden with defeatism that we have come to our present pass. If we do not now act to cast off this evil spell, the very future survival of the white race — the race responsible for bringing into being the wonderful machines that make the modern world tick, whether in peace or in war — will become ever more doubtful.

Our view of the Government's handling of the Poll Tax...

ABSOLUTELY PATHETIC!

WHEN a nation returns a government to office it might be thought reasonable for it to expect that government to show *leadership* in the running of national affairs. Governments are, after all, elected to *govern*. That is what politics are supposed to be all about.

And what do most people think constitutes leadership? Surely, first of all the capacity for wise and prudent judgement of the issues calling for decisions. Next, decisiveness in making those decisions. Finally, courage to stick by the decisions once they are made.

By all these criteria, the leadership that the present Tory Government has shown in the whole long, drawn-out saga of the Poll Tax has been lamentable beyond belief. There is only one description for this Government's performance in the Poll Tax affair: **absolutely pathetic!**

Right from the start, the Poll Tax was an ill-conceived policy, a nonsense. It was basically unjust because it took no account whatever of people's differing ability to pay. It classed a millionaire in just the same bracket as an ordinary old-age pensioner with very little savings, struggling to make ends meet.


The argument here was that if people made equal use of local amenities they should pay for them in equal measure. This was ridiculous. Such an argument never has been applied to national taxation; in that sector it has always been accepted that people should pay according to their means. Why then should the same rule not be applied to the raising of revenue to pay for local services?

This consideration apart, the Poll Tax was monumentally stupid in as much as it was bound to be much more difficult to collect. Not only would it require a larger and more expensive bureaucracy to administer it but it would also involve huge problems in taking legal action to enforce payment from the many who would be either unwilling or unable to meet their tax commitments. And so it has proved.

A moderately intelligent teenager should have been capable of working all this out. Yet it seemed beyond the capacity of the Government's ministers and 'experts' to do so!

PEOPLE'S FURY

With absolute predictability, the introduction of the Poll Tax was



HESELTINE

His search for a way out of the Poll Tax muddle was marked by procrastination and dither.

greeted with fury by the British public. Yet the Government responded with pained surprise — as if no such reaction could ever have been expected! Just one more example of how totally these politicians are out of touch with the people they are supposed to serve.

Of course, once the popularity of the anti-Poll Tax movement had been demonstrated, it was no surprise that left-wing militants jumped aboard the bandwagon and started to capitalise on the discontent that the Government's inept handling of the affair had generated. Nothing can excuse the hooligan behaviour of the anti-Poll Tax mobs in London during the big demonstration there last year. But this does not mean that hatred of the tax was not totally justified. The left could never have mobilised the large numbers for the demos that they did were it not for the widespread feeling against the tax that existed among perfectly decent and respectable members of the British public.

Yet against this great groundswell of popular protest, the Government blinked in incomprehension. What an incredible bunch of wallies it showed itself to be!

GOVERNMENT TAKES TO ITS HEELS


But eventually the message started to sink in, having been reinforced by some disastrous by-election results for the Tories. The British people loathed the Poll Tax. Eventually even the Government itself saw the writing on the wall!

So what did it then do? It went into a panic. One could understand its dilemma: if it kept the tax it was on its way to electoral suicide; but if it scrapped it immediately it would be admitting its own incompetence in introducing it in the first place. The poor little darlings were faced with an unenviable choice: a big loss of face — or a big loss of votes!

The Tories then behaved in the manner we have come to see as typical of them. After an unedifying internal party quarrel, they hit on the solution — blame Mrs. Thatcher for the whole business and throw her out! This they did, and a new 'leader' was chosen, the grey and faceless Mr. Major. Major was presented to the British public as the man untainted by the horrible Poll Tax and therefore worthy of its confidence. It was not explained why John Boy had failed to distinguish himself by any opposition to the tax when he was a member of the Government — in the latter stages its Chancellor of the Exchequer.

Major immediately appointed his erstwhile rival for the party leadership, Michael Heseltine, to head a group whose job it would be to study the Poll Tax and come up with proposals as to whether it should be reformed or abolished and, if the latter, what should be put in its place.

Mr. Heseltine took three months over his assignment, which in fact any person or team of people of moderate intelligence and ability ought to have been able to complete in the course of a weekend. Why was Michael taking so long with his study? Almost certainly because what he was studying was not the Poll Tax itself but the direction of the prevailing winds within the Tory Party and within the country. He knew the tax had to go, but just how to do away with it while not stirring up a hornet's nest of opposition to the Government somewhere, while at the same time not making that Government look ridiculous — that was the big problem. So there were three months of dither while Mr. H. tried to work out how he could get his coll-




PASSING THE BUCK

The Poll Tax fiasco as Cummings of The Sunday Express saw it.

eagues out of the mess they had made, and at the same time do well for himself out of the affair — as is always his wish.

BRIBERY OF THE VOTERS

Unfortunately, Heseltine failed to come up with the sought-after formula before the Ribble Valley by-election arrived. The loss of this previously impregnable Tory seat threw the



MAJOR

His Government has made a clumsy attempt to 'buy off' the voters with a Poll Tax reduction, but few seem to have been fooled.

Government into a bigger flap than ever. Immediately after the by-election a budget was due. What could the Government offer the voters in this budget to prop up its rapidly waning popularity and thus prevent further Ribble Valleys? The decision to abolish the Poll Tax had not yet been made — or, if it had, the Government had not yet plucked up the courage to announce it. So some big package of sweeties had to be offered the British public in the meantime. What was it to be?

Mr. Norman Lamont, the new Chancellor, provided the answer. Everybody's Poll Tax bill was to be reduced by £140. And how was this to be achieved? Why, by putting up VAT from 15 per cent to 17½ per cent! Thus did the Government get out of its predicament by robbing Peter to pay Paul. It should not of course take a genius to work out that what the Poll Tax payers gain by the reduction in that imposition they will lose in the added burden of VAT they will have to

pay for goods and services purchased. But the Tory press was quite euphoric over Mr. Lamont's announcement. Said the *Daily Mail* (March 20th):—

"He created a Commons sensation and outmanoeuvred Labour with his simple but ingenious answer — knock £140 off everyone's Poll Tax bill and find the £4½ billion needed to do it by increasing VAT from 15 to 17.5 per cent. 'The move transformed the Tory mood from despair to delight about the prospects of a June or autumn poll.'"

Just how the Tories could feel 'delight' about a move that was an obvious 'con', and in effect did nothing whatever to make things easier for Mr. & Mrs. Joe Public, is beyond normal people's comprehension. But, as the *Daily Mail* revealed, the 'delight' was of course primarily prompted by the thought of a boost to the Government's popularity and therefore the improvement in the prospects of Tory MPs hanging onto their precious parliamentary seats. Their estimation of the people's intelligence, you see, is so abysmally low that they actually believed that the British public would fall for this cheap little trick hook, line and sinker.

PUBLIC NOT IMPRESSED

Well, the latest signs are that the British voters have not fallen for Mr. Lamont's bag of sweeties in quite the way anticipated, because opinion polls conducted shortly after this piece of budget bribery was announced indicate that the Tories are as unpopular as ever, if not even more so. We are reminded of Abraham Lincoln's oft-quoted saying: "You cannot fool all of the people all of the time."

In the meantime, after a bout of vacillation almost unprecedented even in the history of Westminster politics, the Government has finally authorised Mr. Heseltine to announce his findings and recommendations over the Poll Tax. The upshot is that the detested tax is to be abolished — though its abolition will not take effect for some while. What is proposed is that it will be replaced by a new property tax, which will be based on the current market value of each home-owner's property and the current number of working residents living in the property. All very fine — until we realise that this new tax, like the previous one, entirely fails to take into account the big differences in income between the working people involved, and thus the equally big differences in their ability to pay. These differences may be marginally less than in the case of the Poll Tax in as much as people

Contd. on page 7

The Craigavon murder: who gains?

WERE SHOOTINGS THE WORK OF AGENTS PROVOCATEURS?

ALL DECENT PEOPLE, on whatever side they stand in the Northern Ireland conflict, will feel revulsion at the brutal and vicious killings of three young Catholics in Craigavon last month, two of the victims being teenage girls.

But a merely emotional response to this outrage is not enough. Everyone should think hard and carefully about the affair, and try to divine its logic.

The murders are claimed to have been committed by a loyalist paramilitary group calling itself the 'Protestant Action Force' — but we wonder just what is the true inspir-

ation behind these apparently senseless atrocities?

To unravel such mysteries, we should ask ourselves the old question: *Cui bono?* Who gains? Most certainly, the loyalist cause in Ulster and throughout the United Kingdom gained absolutely 'nothing' from what happened. On the contrary, Ulster loyalists have suffered everywhere by reason of unjust association with the crime. The mass media, which of course are never on the British side in any quarrel, have made a great song and dance about the killings being carried out by 'loyalists'. This has led to a situation highly convenient to those who would like to get Britain out of Ulster: a great many people on the mainland will be found to be saying: 'Loyalists? Why, they're no better than the IRA — a plague on both their houses!'

This could well increase the pressure in mainland Britain for a withdrawal

from Northern Ireland.

And not only that, it creates yet more naive faith in the efficacy of 'talks' between the various sides in the Ulster conflict. Right now a fresh round of these talks is on the agenda, promoted by Ulster Secretary Peter Brooke and directed at yet another 'power-sharing' plan involving loyalists, republicans and the Dublin Government — in other words, just another attempt at a Westminster sell-out.

So we ask: is it really 'loyalists' who are behind these latest killings?

Or could it be people who have no allegiance whatever to the loyalist cause, to Northern Ireland or to the United Kingdom, people who simply want to keep the pot of murder and mayhem boiling on and on and on — so that eventually a British Government, this one or the next one, will decide to pull out of Ulster and leave her for the taking?

Forgive us if we don't celebrate

BRITISH NATIONALIST will not be among those papers celebrating the release of the so-called 'Birmingham Six', jailed in 1974 for the Birmingham pub bombing which left many innocent people killed.

Whether the Six themselves actually were involved in the planting of the bomb or not, there has been no attempt to deny that they were hard-core IRA sympathisers — indeed they were on their way to Northern Ireland to attend the funeral of another IRA bomber, James McDade, at the time they were picked up.

To us, the difference between terrorists who actually carry out acts of murder and those who stand behind them giving them moral and practical support is very much of an academic one. If we had our way, the latter would be locked up for the remainder of their lives, while the former would most certainly get the rope.

And if it turned out, in the odd case, that one had been confused with the other and someone had been hanged for an IRA murder when he had actually been no more than an IRA supporter, we would not be found shedding any tears.

KILLED
Katrina
Rennie
(above);
Eileen
Duffy
(below)





FROM ROUND AND ABOUT

Censorship of the 'anti-racist' lobby

Macdonald publishers have admitted that they are to censor the 'Noddy' books of Enid Blyton to appease 'anti-racist' loonies. The Gollywog character, popular for decades with British children, has been removed and replaced by a monkey.

The BBC is to make a Noddy cartoon series, also without the Gollywog. After the failed attempt to have the Golly symbol removed from Robertson's jam jars, this latest change is being hailed as a victory for the anti-British lobby, which is aiming its



THE CENSOR STRIKES AGAIN!
The upper picture shows the old Noddy illustration, the lower picture the new one.
Spot the difference!

propaganda at our children through censorship of traditional books and creation of 'multi-racial' matter to replace them.

Pakistani 'high priest' jailed for eight rapes

A Moslem 'high priest' has been jailed for 11 years at the Old Bailey for raping a 15-year-old schoolgirl and two other British women during bizarre 'sex rites'.

Gulaam Chisti (47), who has six wives, was convicted of eight rapes and three indecent assaults. Judge Robert Lydney told him: "People turned to you for help, thinking they were secure with you. Behind the facade of a red curtain you took advantage of their explicit faith, and through your trickery, deceit and power had your own way with them for your own

gratification."

Chisti, of Southall, will be deported to Pakistan at the end of his sentence.

Jackpot! Asian PC scoops £20,000 from race board loonies

An Asian policeman has been awarded a record £20,000 by an industrial tribunal on the grounds of 'race discrimination'.

Surinder Singh was said to be "over the moon" about his record win. Two other Asians also did well out of the latest race-law 'money-go-round', netting £5,000 each for similar alleged 'injustices'. A further £5,000 was awarded to the immigrants' lobby group Campaign for Racial Equality (CRE) towards its expenses in bringing the cases.

Singh and two other Asians claimed they were passed over for promotion on grounds of their race. The payments were agreed by Dan Crompton, Nottinghamshire's Chief Constable.

Nottinghamshire's poll tax payers, however, are said to be rather less than pleased at Mr. Crompton's generosity with other people's money.

A tale of two Cohens

We hear that Conservative Party stalwart Lady Porter has finally been forced to 'retire' from the leadership of Westminster City Council.

Dame Shirley, as she became in Mrs. Thatcher's new year's honours list, hit the headlines by selling three of Westminster's municipal cemeteries for redevelopment — for the sum of 15 pence! This naturally made her somewhat unpopular with Westminster's poll tax payers. Worse still, a recent BBC *Panorama* programme alleged that her party used poll tax payers' money to finance a housing policy contrived to beef up votes in eight wards with small Tory majorities. The Tories have therefore decided that, as with Mrs. Thatcher before her, it is time for Lady Porter to go.

It is rather ironic that Lady Porter is so inept with money — because her real name is Shirley Cohen. She is the daughter of Tesco multi-millionaire Sir John Cohen. But then, perhaps she is less careful with other people's money than with her own.

Meanwhile, another former Miss Cohen has been grabbing publicity, this time by jumping on the Gulf War bandwagon. Edwina Currie, failed Minister, apparently noticed a group of MPs being photographed wearing stickers saying "Supporting our

FORMER
MISS
COHENS
Lady Porter
(right);
Edwina Currie
MP (below)



boys" provided by *The Sun*.

Being able to smell a camera from miles away, Edwina elbowed her way to the front of the group and, much to the annoyance of her colleagues, managed to catch the eye of the *Sun's* photographer by putting a sticker on her extremely large nose.

Edwina Cohen (sorry, Currie!) is a well-known member of a Derbyshire political mafia which includes such notable personalities as Philip Oppenheim MP and Derbyshire County Council leader David Bookbinder. Mr. Bookbinder's contribution to Britain's economy was to invite the Japanese car company Toyota to build a huge factory in Derbyshire, with millions of pounds in grant aid. Those Derbyshire residents who did not wish to sell their land to make way for this factory were forcibly evicted by compulsory purchase order. The county's voters might be excused some confusion as to why Tory Edwina and Labour man Bookbinder are in agreement on bringing the Japs there — Edwin drives a Toyota herself. But then, as they say, blood is thicker than water.

LAURENCE JOHNSON

BEEB PLANS SPECIAL SHOW FOR QUEERS

BY NOW, almost everyone in Britain should know that television, both in the BBC and independent sectors, is riddled with homosexuals.

Some months ago, we were given plenty to vomit over when one of TV's leading queers, Julian Clary, had his regular weekly programme — all part of making homosexuality seem 'normal' in the eyes of viewers, particularly children, at which this insidious propa-

ganda is primarily aimed.

Now we are promised more. It has been announced that the BBC is planning a new series for homosexual 'men' and 'women' next Autumn, probably at peak viewing time on Saturday evenings.

In announcing this new development, the *Daily Mail* of January 26th said: "The BBC, particularly the drama department, contains an unofficial but influential homosexual lobby..."

They can say that again!



TELEVISION'S TOP POOFER
Julian Clary (above) had his own series, lasting several weeks

The Poll Tax: Labour steals BNP policies

AFTER months of fumbling, the Labour Party has finally decided on its replacement for the Poll Tax. Because the best policies are nationalist policies, Labour has decided to adopt wholesale the British National Party policies on the tax. The stolen policies include the scrapping of the Poll Tax and a return to the rates system with improved

rebates for the less well off and those living on their own — exactly what the BNP called for a year previously!

When asked, a Labour Party spokesman denied stealing BNP policies but admitted Labour chaos on the 'Roof Tax' idea the party originally had to replace the Poll Tax.

Report from Tony Wells

PLAGIARISTS!

Below is a reproduction of the Winter 1990/91 edition of Ilford Labour News, a Labour Party news-sheet circulating in the East London borough. The proposals concerning a system for local government revenue that are featured in the news-sheet look as if they've been borrowed directly from the BNP.

ILFORD LABOUR NEWS

Number 16

WINTER 1990/1

LABOUR'S ALTERNATIVE


Fair rates

The poll tax has been a disaster. The next Labour government will start abolishing it immediately.

We will replace it with a new property tax — fair rates — which will be based on people's ability to pay.

A property tax is simple and cheap to collect, is difficult to avoid — and we know it works.

- Labour will abolish poll tax at the first opportunity because it is so unfair.
- We will introduce a property tax as used by most other countries in Europe.
- Then Labour will introduce a new and fair way of valuing property.
- Fair rates will provide improved rebates for single pensioners and lone parents on low incomes, young adults and people with disabilities.
- Fair rates will be based on people's ability to pay.
- Under Labour's new, fair rates, seven out of 10 families will gain.



Abolishing the poll tax
New, fair rates
New valuations
Improved rebates



Picture: Mike Gapes, Labour prospective Parliamentary Candidate for Ilford South with Neil Kinnock, Labour Leader at the European Socialist Party Leaders Conference in Madrid in December

A New Start

The last year has been a disaster for the people of Ilford.

Record interest rates, 43% rent increases, huge fare rises and the unjust poll tax — all due to the policies of the Conservative nationally and locally.

Thatcher has gone but her chosen successor is taking Britain down the same road.

Ilford needs a new direction and a government which will rebuild our education system, sweep away the poll tax and reverse Britain's economic decline.

Only a Labour government will repair the damage inflicted by almost twelve years of Tory misrule and mismanagement.

Make it a Happy New Year with Labour.

Mike Gapes

NEVER MIND WHAT'S HAPPENING IN THE MIDDLE EAST...

What about these atrocities?

AMID all the shouting about atrocities committed by Arabs against other Arabs in faraway Kuwait during its occupation by Iraq, and the alleged need for the culprits to be specially punished as 'war criminals', other atrocities happening at the same time have been almost overlooked. In these too, women and children have been the victims. But somehow our politicians and media hacks do not seem to be able to work themselves up to the same fever pitch of emotion about them.

To name merely one instance, just at the time that the sufferings of the Kuwaitis at the hands of the Iraqis were burning from the headlines last August a mother and her two children were attacked in their home by a pair of armed inner-city savages from South London. The two, Kennedy Fergus and his younger brother Peter, were recently found guilty of robbery, possession of firearms and attempted rape charges. The mother wept bitterly in court as she recounted what had happened during the raid on her home. She told how, between them, the two raiders, in the course of stealing jewellery and valuables, had killed the family dog, attacked one of her sons, and finally tried to rape her at knife-point.

When the two thugs had broken in and as they were menacing the woman and her two young boys, whilst looking for items to steal, the family's terrier pul bounded about the living room. Kennedy Fergus became annoyed, stood on the dog's back and, despite the woman's pleas to him to stop, crushed the whimpering animal to death under his foot.

ATTEMPTED STRANGLING

Next, Fergus began trying to strangle the woman's 15-year-old son. As she screamed at him to leave her children alone, the boy reached for an anti-mugging spray, which he discharged at his attacker. The latter, in retaliation, squirted ammonia in his face, leaving him screaming in agony.

Finally, putting a knife to the mother's throat, Fergus dragged her upstairs by her hair and started molesting her. Ordering the mother to strip, and still brandishing the knife, Fergus pushed her onto a bed. But she stood up and fought back. He only withdrew when his brother called him from downstairs.

In sentencing him to 13 years' jail, Judge Brian Capstick said: "You are a dangerous man who is not afraid to use weapons, hurt children or kill dogs."

But Fergus did not seem to give a damn.

Instead, as he was led from the dock he gave a clenched-fist 'Black Power' salute to his friends and relatives in the public gallery who had turned up in court to support him.

Meanwhile his brother, who during the break-in had been wielding a revolver, was not sentenced pending social enquiry reports.

VICIOUS GANG RAPE

In another vicious attack, a 15-year-old schoolgirl was gang-raped for 12 hours after being lured into a flat in the notorious 'racially-sensitive' Stonebridge Park Estate, in North West London. As sex beast David 'Dekker' Johnson held the girl prisoner in the flat the word spread around the area that she was "there for the taking." She was attacked repeatedly by Johnson, four other men and a 15-year-old youth, and made to perform sordid oral sex acts before they let her go after hours of torment.

The sentences imposed on the savages responsible for this episode were derisory. Johnson was given a mere 10 years' youth custody. Two others, Gary Simms and Michael

Edwards, got seven years and 30 months respectively. Owen James and the 15-year-old were remanded for reports.

EIGHT-YEAR-OLD MURDERED

Nor, meanwhile, has the brutal wave of child-murders that has hit Britain in modern times in any way grown less. A little girl of eight, Karen Griffin, was grabbed by a sex field just yards away from her home in Mansfield Woodhouse, Notts, after slipping out to buy teabags for her mother at a corner shop nearby.

Her parents grew worried when she failed to return, and went out searching for her with other local people. 12½ hours later her naked body was found dumped in a barrel of water on a nearby allotment. Her throat had been slashed.

It seems that an arrest was made. A man was later being questioned by police.

But even if this man turns out to be the culprit he stands to suffer nothing much worse than detention in a comfortable prison cell for some years at




BRUTALLY MURDERED
Little Karen Griffin (above) was sexually assaulted and then had her throat cut and was dumped in a barrel of water. She was eight years old.


the taxpayers' expense. For our Government, members of parliament and journalists, so many of whom have been loudly screaming for the lynching of Saddam Hussein and his henchmen over what they are alleged to have done to Kuwaitis, continue to set their faces against even so commonsense a move as the return of the rope here in Britain for the savages molesting and killing women and children in our own back yard.

What may or may not have happened in Kuwait is all as may be.


It is time this country had rulers prepared to set about the task of cleansing Britain of the subhumans and brutes committing atrocities right here on our own soil!

GANG RAPISTS

DAVID JOHNSON



MICHAEL EDWARDS



GARY SIMMS

IS THIS WHAT WE WANT IN BRITAIN?

Journalist sounds alarm as US ghetto conditions are reproduced in Manchester

A RECENT newspaper article gave some hint of the direction in which Britain is heading.

In a piece entitled 'The Bronx arrives in Moss Side' Sunday Telegraph journalist Dominic Loehnis described how the same pattern of drugs and gang warfare as has come into being in New York City and Washington, DC, some of it involving firearms, has started to emerge in some of Manchester's run-down multi-racial suburbs.

In the eighties the city became a centre for degenerate alien pop music cults, including 'acid house' and black American jungle beat from the ghettos. Along with

these squalid imports came drugs, gangs and guns.

Many shootings have now occurred, and some of these have resulted in deaths. The weaponry used includes every type of handgun from a Walther PPK to a Colt .45. Even Uzi sub-machine guns have been used.

Youngsters are in the forefront of the violence, just as in America's big city ghettos. A 12-year-old was recently found to be hiding a gun in his loft. Most of those involved in the recent escalation of gang warfare are aged from 16 to 21.

Says Loehnis: "As teenagers take control of the lucrative drugs trade the shooting has intensified. Guns are now the trademark of the successful dealer."

SOURCE IN BLACK GHETTOS

Loehnis makes no bones about the original source of the mayhem. Just as in the US, it came from black ghettos. He names Moss Side, "the centre of the North West drugs trade, and scene of rioting in 1981; Hulme, the dream estate of the sixties, now deserted but for a squatting community of travellers; and Cheetham Hill on the other side of the town, a tightly

packed estate with a hardened criminal presence, and a fearsome reputation."

In Moss Side, Loehnis says, "there is a climate of fear. Nobody will talk, especially in the black community to which both the victims and the perpetrators predominantly belong."


But meanwhile, he warns, "the battleground has widened. Nightclubs in the centre of town have found themselves in the front line of a battle which has little logic and no rules." Some of these have closed, and now gang 'posses' have started to move further afield, some reaching as far as Stoke-on-Trent.

Now too, outright confrontation has emerged between the gangs and the communities from which they are drawn, on the one hand, and police, on the other. Instead of taking the side of law and order and helping to put down the thugs in their midst, the denizens of Moss Side tend to regard any police action taken to control the situation as "indiscriminate intimidation."

One black 'insider' to the gang scene, whom Loehnis identifies only as 'Thomas', believes that the violent message of black music imported from the ghettos of the United States is one factor at the root of the trouble. The pop lyrics currently in vogue glorify drugs and guns. "Record covers," says Loehnis, "show the stars toting

Contd. on page 7

A SCENE IN MOSS SIDE
The poster on the wall tells its own story



Moslem mayhem on the way

NOW that the Gulf War is out of the way and Iraq defeated and in a state of civil war, the question arises: what comes next as far as the world's one billion Moslems are concerned?

A clue was perhaps forthcoming at the end of February. Just as the land battle for Kuwait was a few hours old a crowd of Moslem fanatics hit the streets of London shouting abuse against Britain for taking part in the war. Included among the slogans and speeches were death threats against the Prime Minister.

Taking up the cry, one of their leaders, Omar Bakri Mohammed, called for a Moslem terror campaign against Britain and the West. "It is the duty of Moslems all over the world," he said, "to attack and destroy British, American and French interests."

Bakri Mohammed went on to threaten what sounded like potentially indiscriminate IRA-style mayhem. He said: "If a bomb goes off tomorrow, they'll say it's the Irish. Maybe not. I can't say if we have any plans. But it's a duty." He went on to repeat the incitement to assassinate Britain's Premier.

Right-wing Tories have rightly denounced such Moslem fanatics for their arrogance on our soil. MP Teddy Taylor demanded that Bakri Mohammed should be arrested forth-



BAKRI MOHAMMED
Incited the killing of Britain's Prime Minister

with and dealt with by the courts for such incitements to murder — although the way that others of Bakri's ilk have been allowed to get away with similar incitements against Salman Rushdie suggests that there is little hope that our Government, in its terror of the power of ethnic minorities, will ever act.

Brian Hitchen of the Star newspaper gave voice to the kind of outrage that normal Britons feel at the behaviour of these alien newcomers in their midst. Denouncing Britain for being "stupidly tolerant" in having "scores of policemen lined up to make sure that the demonstrators come to no harm," Hitchen went on to denounce the Moslem marchers in London for publicly praying for Britain's defeat in the Gulf, and shouting through megaphones for a holy war against us.

Speaking of an American Moslem spokesman who had come to stir up the mob, Hitchen said: "It would be interesting to know how much he and many of his raghead gang of parasites pick up in benefits each week from the Britain they clearly hate so much."

The turmoil set loose in the Moslem world by the Gulf War will most probably increase, rather than reduce, the kind of militancy that has recently been seen. Saddam Hussein himself may now be a man of the past; but, if so, it is likely that he and his regime will be replaced by much more militant forces of a definite Islamic stamp, embracing all the Moslems in the world.

These Moslems now hate the West even more intensely than before the Gulf War, having seen by means of that war that both Britain and the US are merely Israel's puppets, slavishly carrying out the latter's dirty work in

Contd. on page 7

Crime: a pearl of wisdom from the clergy

While Britain's inner-city areas degenerate into jungles, with crime hitting a new high, we thought our readers would like to hear a view about the matter from a member of the clergy, the Rev. David Haslam, who happens also to be a community relations official. In a letter to The Times (12.9.90) the Rev. Haslam protested that the numbers of Blacks in our prisons was "disproportionate" and said that this was "unacceptable." From the Reverend's letter it would seem that he was advocating that black criminals be spared imprisonment in order to even the figures up. We print it below:-

Black jail numbers

From the Reverend David Haslam

Sir, Whether or not magistrates discriminate against black defendants (report, September 6) the fact remains that there is a totally disproportionate number of black people in British prisons today. The latest figures suggest that some 16 per cent of male prisoners and some 28 per cent of female prisoners are from black or ethnic minorities. This is clearly unacceptable considering minorities are only some 4.7 per cent of the population as a whole.

Discussions have taken place between this unit and other concerned people from both church and non-church organisations

about the formation of a "5% Group" to campaign for a reduction in the numbers of black people in prison to the same as their proportion in the population. This would support efforts already being made by black organisations such as the Society of Black Lawyers and the National Black Caucus. Anyone similarly concerned might like to get in touch with us.

Yours sincerely,
DAVID HASLAM
(Executive Secretary),
Community and Race Relations Unit,
The Council of Churches for Britain and Ireland,
Inter-Church House,
35-41 Lower Marsh, SE1.

EUROPE'S COMING IMMIGRATION DOOMSDAY

THE ADVENT of the Single European Market in a year's time is going to mean a great deal more than just the free movement of goods across the national frontiers. The nations of Europe themselves will be one big step nearer to extinction if their peoples do not act in a meaningful way to stop what the shady planners and puppeteers controlling developments have lined up for them.

What is now being revealed to the still sleepy peoples of Britain and the other nations is nothing less than the imminent rubbing out of themselves and their kind. For what is planned is an almost unlimited flood of millions of immigrants, mostly from the Third World, who will acquire an instant right of free movement from one EC country to another.

Writing in the London *Evening Standard* a while back, newspaperman John Ellison was good enough to warn us of some of what is in prospect as our rulers hustle us at breakneck speed towards full Euro-integration in such a way as to give us minimum pause for thought.

Ellison pointed out that Britain loses the power of decision as to who can come here. "We shall be obliged," he said, "to accept without question anyone who wants to come and live or work here — provided they are citizens

of a Common Market country."

And he added: "The implications of that situation have barely yet been grasped by the British Government, let alone the public." He then went on to explain some of these.

GREEN LIGHT

Under Single Market arrangements, the Hong Kong Chinese whom the present Tory Government gave a green light to flood this country will not be restricted just to Britain as their place of abode. As British citizens they will be allowed to go anywhere else they like in the Community.

By the same token, Britain will also lose the right, described by some as the acid test of what will be left of our sovereignty after 1992, to keep out Third World immigrants if they happen to have been given the nationality of any other European country.

For example, Germany is now jam-packed with 'guest workers' and Afro-Asian seekers after 'political asylum'. All those accorded German citizenship will be allowed into Britain. Of 1.5 million immigrants entering West Germany in the last two years it is thought that a very large percentage are of non-European origin. In addition, there is a backlog of some 190,000 asylum-seekers — including Kurds, Tamils and other exotic species — and no less than 1.4 million established Turkish workers in the German economy whom the Bonn Government may fully assimilate into the enlarged Germany. Not long ago Chancellor Kohl, well-known for his 'one-world' liberal sympathies, declared that Germans should accept the 4 million aliens in their midst as *mitbürger* (fellow citizens). Britain could find herself getting large numbers of these.

No prettier is the prospect where France is concerned. In that country the number of North African immigrants is now admitted to have topped four million. But the matter does not stop there. M. Jean-Marie Le Pen, leader of the country's patriotic movement, the *Front National*, estimates that there are at least another million illegal aliens to which the Government will not confess.

In Italy, according to Ellison, "so lax are immigration [laws] that the country is believed to have absorbed between half a million and a million illegal immigrants, largely from the Middle East and North Africa." But of course, if Italy is at all like Britain and France, it is certain that these official figures are deliberate underestimates.

As for Portugal, it too has a Chinese problem that it is likely to pass on to the rest of us. 100,000 residents of Macao are to be offered Portuguese citizenship as a result of the colony, like Hong Kong, being handed to China. These have to be added to immigrants in Portugal from the country's former African territories.

BRITAIN A MAGNET

Britain is likely to be something of a magnet to large numbers of Third World immigrants from all these sources. Like Denmark, the UK offers very high welfare payments.

Meanwhile, there are powerful political and economic vested interests in favour of such immigration within the institutions of the EC. One of Britain's Labour Euro-MPs, Glyn Ford of Manchester, has a leading role in the Strasbourg Euro-parliament as a member of the committee appointed to study ways and means of putting down what are described as "xenophobia, racism and anti-semitism" among ordinary Europeans (The latter supposed offence is, as usual, given special pride of place as the most heinous, over and above even the others). Ordinary Britons, Frenchmen, Germans and other Europeans who do not want to see the character of their countries wiped out for ever in an alien floodtide are the prime targets of this committee.

ILLEGALS LEFT ALONE

Meanwhile, Dr. Alan Butt Philip, lecturer in European politics at Bath University, speaks of "itinerant illegals hiding themselves away, perhaps permanently, in cut-price industrial operations around the fringes." Britain in particular seems to have this problem. The down-at-heel Bangladeshi and Turkish sweatshops of London's East End are cases in point. Illegal

Millions more Third Worlders on the way after barriers come down in 1992



GROWING MOSLEM PRESENCE

With the coming of the Single European Market, Britain stands to be flooded with a new wave of migrants from North West Africa coming here by way of France and other EC countries.

aliens employed in either are not pursued by the authorities with any great energy. One reason for this may be the profit to be made by private interests from the cheap goods — mainly clothing and leatherware — which they produce. Our Government has little interest in the social consequences for the traditional British communities being broken up by the newcomers' arrival.

After 1992 things could get far worse — especially if, for example, Turkey were given some sort of close association with the EC, as has been suggested might happen. This could possibly even be accorded as some sort of pay-off to the Turks for allowing their territory to be used as a base for

the allied onslaught on Iraq in the recent Gulf War.

Ellison speaks of "united Europe" having been mooted at a time before the "old continent" was "choking with immigrants, wanted or unwanted." It is hard to believe that there was, in reality, so little foresight among those who truly call the Euro-tune from behind the scenes. But for those of us in Britain and other European countries by whom this kind of future is not wanted, it is time to unite and fight under the banners of nationalism — now.

The future of all our lands and their peoples is at stake. It is for this that Europeans must work together!

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — After reading the article in your January issue entitled 'The bankers' man', I had to give long and careful thought as to whether I should renew my subscription or not. I found the article most distasteful. I have no objection to your castigating a person for their political beliefs, but to criticise someone for their looks or the way in which they speak is totally unjustified.

I can no more help being 6ft 2in tall than a dwarf could be criticised for being under 3ft tall, or you can be criticised for your own physical attributes. So, no more of this please. It demeans you. Further, to make fun of or criticise the Prime Minister for his father changing his name to Major and bringing up his children in a new, legally recognised name is to show yourself in a very poor light.

Please stick to what political parties should do: righting wrongs, repairing injustices and in your case fighting for a new Great Britain. I am behind you in those beliefs and your stated aims. I want to renew my subscription annually but if this type of journalism is to become the norm I will sadly let it lapse.

DAVID E. BROWN
Bolton, Lancs.

EDITOR'S NOTE: It is felt that Mr. Brown has got the wrong end of the stick here. Our article on John Major did not criticise him for his height, weight, looks or accent — it is a matter of no consequence to us whether he is 6ft tall or 5ft tall, nor whether he is handsome or plain or speaks in London, Northern or Scottish tones. What we do think is of some importance is how his facial features, bearing and manner of speech are indicative of his inner character. That is the point our article was driving at.

As far as the change-of-name factor is concerned, whilst there may be some merit in Mr. Brown's argument it has to be true that, generally speaking, the public prefer the family backgrounds of their leaders to be a completely open book. Rightly or wrongly, when a public figure's family name has been changed relatively recently in its history people are inclined to ask why. The Russian revolution was brought about almost entirely by men who declined to use their correct family names. In Mr. Major's case, the name-change effected by his father and continued by him may have been of a perfectly innocent nature, or it may not. At least, the public has a right to know about it. Our article merely mentioned the fact of the change; it did not comment on the right or wrong of it.

Are there any other readers who attach so little priority to the struggle for Britain's survival that they would boycott our paper over some small difference of opinion like this? Please let us get things into proper perspective!

Crazy intolerance of the 'anti-racists'

JOHN CHRISTIE is a small boy of five, who lives in the Ibrox district of Glasgow. When at school recently, he got into an argument with an Asian fellow-pupil and in the course of the dispute called the Asian 'coloured'. That was all.

The next thing that happened was that the matter came to the attention of the acting head teacher at the school, Craigton Primary, and little John was treated almost as if he had murdered the other kid.

The school recorded the incident in its files, and John was paraded on the playground in front of the other pupils and told he was 'a racist' and 'very bad'.

Actually, the Asian boy's parents didn't want to make a big fuss out of the matter, but the school insisted on doing so. Said the Asian's mother: "It's just boys being boys. They don't understand the meaning. I just want to forget about it all." The school, however, would not forget it. The acting head teacher insisted that John Christie be branded and humiliated in front of his fellow pupils.

John's mother, Tracey, a 'single parent', said she thought her son had been in the wrong and even offered to meet the Asian boy and his family and apologise. She said to the *Mail on Sunday*, which carried a report of the affair: "It could all have been sorted out quietly if the teachers had just explained to John why name-calling was wrong. Instead the school has totally over-reacted."

BOY PUT IN SCHOOL RECORDS AFTER PLAYGROUND SQUABBLE WITH ASIAN FRIEND

Branded a racist aged five

HE'S a normal, playful five-year-old. Except that little John Christie has been branded a racist.

Now his mother is fighting to clear his name after John went on written school records for a remark he made in the playground.

John's mother, Tracey, says the episode was a simple playground squabble. "John is only five. He has no idea what the word means," she says. "He was just saying what he saw."

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

My son was wrong but there was no need for this, says mother

By LIZ LIGHTFOOT

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

Tracey says she was told by the school that John had been "branded a racist" and "very bad" for calling an Asian boy "coloured".

EXPOSURE

The appalling story of the victimisation of little John Christie, as reported in The Mail on Sunday.

Our opinion is that John's mother also 'over-reacted'. We see no reason whatsoever why she should have felt inclined to apologise to the Asian boy and his parents

over such a trifling matter.

If there is anyone who should have been apologising, it is the head teacher — for subjecting an innocent kid to such a humiliating ordeal.

Isn't this racism?

The advertisement reproduced at the bottom of this column was printed recently in the magazine *Private Eye*. It gives a plug to another magazine, *Arena*. As the words make clear, the advert and the *Arena* article it wants people to read are clearly attempting to encourage men (presumably white) to fall for coloured women, and in pursuit of this speaks of "the death of the white woman..." Just supposing that such an advert spoke of the "death of the black woman," no doubt that would be 'racism' and would render the magazine open to prosecution! We just thought our readers would like to know of the vile trash that's circulating about.

"There's a fundamental problem with white girls. Have you noticed yet? They all look the same"

Tony Parsons writes about the rise of the *Pretty Ethnic* and the death of the white woman in the new issue of *Arena*

Plus Gary Oldman, Joanne Whalley-Kilmer, Lemmy Kilmister, Douglas Kennedy in the new Berlin, how to buy underwear for women, *Arena's* great hotels of the world, the Gulf: a war by television, and 30 pages of fashion for men

ARENA

MARCH/APRIL ISSUE ON SALE NOW £2

BRITAIN'S FINEST MAGAZINE FOR MEN

**She freezes in Winter while
Third World gets £1,000 million
a year
PUT BRITISH PEOPLE
BEFORE ALIENS!**

Large three-colour poster: 17.7in x 24.85in. 1-9 copies 30p each; 10-19 copies 26p each; 20-49 copies 20p each; 50 copies or over 15p each.

Much action in East Midlands

THE EAST MIDLANDS continues to be one of the major boom areas for the British National Party, with the party, having become established in large cities like Leicester and Nottingham, now spreading out to the smaller towns in the region.

One important new development area is the Leicestershire town of Coalville. During the last two months the BNP has held several 'Days of Action' in Coalville. These were called primarily to put the party in the public eye and to prepare the ground for its participation in the forthcoming County Council by-election there.

The prospective BNP candidate is Mr. James Taylor. James Nigel Taylor has been involved in the nationalist cause since 1975 and has been a candidate in the county on behalf of the National Front, the

British Democratic Party and, in 1983, the BNP, when he fought the Harborough seat as a parliamentary candidate in the general election of that year. He is 38 years of age and is married, with three children.

The first Day of Action, on February 16th, began with a paper-sale in the main shopping precinct, followed by a mass leaflet distribution. The response by local people was excellent. One young lady said: "It's about time you people got here." Many brought copies of *British Nationalist* and asked where we had been until now.

Two teams with flags flying distributed leaflets door-to-door during the afternoon, and thus the incursion into Coalville proved a huge success. The fact that the decent local townsfolk demonstrated their strong interest in the party caused panic and hysteria on the

STANDING IN COALVILLE
BNP prospective candidate James Taylor (left)



part of the local Labour Party and the local paper, the *Coalville Times*, with the latter carrying a huge front-page headline attacking the BNP the week afterwards.

A further Day of Action was held on the 9th March, with a door-to-door canvass in the ward to be fought in the election. Residents were delighted to see the BNP, and expressed disgust with Labour and Tory alike, who had deliberately encouraged coloured immigrants to move into the area from the inner-city ghettos of Leicester. Our people heard the same concerns expressed many times: miners out of work and Asians encouraged to buy up corner shops.

We are determined to do well in this forthcoming by-election and are pulling out all the stops. Thanks to all who have participated in putting the BNP in the public eye in Coalville.

Report from JOHN PEACOCK
BNP Organiser, East Midlands



Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 275, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB10 8XU

SOUTH WEST LONDON
BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BARKING & DAGENHAM
PO Box 12, Dagenham, Essex RM10 7HE

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 811, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

DEVON
PO Box 1, Torrington EX38 8HQ

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES
PO Box 3, Llangefni, Anglesey LL77 7UY

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottingham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.
PO Box 5, Eastwood, Notts. NG16 3RN

NEWARK
PO Box 5, Newark, Notts. NG24 3LD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

ROCHDALE
PO Box 156, Rochdale, Lancs. OL77 5LG

LEEDS
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

BRADFORD
PO Box 6, Thornton, Bradford BD13 3QF

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields, NE33 1LP

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, NWDO, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY
PO Box 72, Paisley PA2 0BH

DUNDEE
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Hounslow, Newmarket, Colchester, Gloucestershire, Bristol, Hertfordshire, Reading, Basingstoke, Bedford, Dunstable, Stourbridge, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Burnley, Blackpool, Halifax, Dewsbury, York, Tees-side, Sunderland, Chester-le-Street, Stirling & Alloa, Greenock, Ayr, Cumbernauld, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to contact any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Election Fund: full steam ahead!

This month the British National Party announces the opening of its General Election Fund, the fund that has been launched with the object of financing the party's campaign for the next General Election, at which it plans to fight 10-15 seats, depending on the date on which the election is called.

Local branches and regions will be responsible for raising the money to finance the candidates in their respective areas. However, a substantial sum of money will be required to finance the campaign at national level. The BNP seeks to run the campaign in as professional way as possible. A big national publicity drive will be required. Extra staff will be needed at administrative and organisational level. An Election Manifesto will have to be published. Press conferences will have to be held. Last but not least, a reserve fund will have to be available to underwrite local expenses should the money for candidates fall short of the target.

With all this in mind, we are asking all readers to contribute generously to the BNP Election Fund — starting from now! All donations should be marked 'British National Party Election Fund' and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. SAEs with money would make receipting easier and reduce staff work.

DON'T FORGET THE GLASGOW FOUR!

This is to remind readers of the four young British National Party activists who are serving one-year prison sentences in Scotland as a result of their stout defence when attacked by a large mob of Socialist 'Workers' Party thugs in Glasgow in January 1990.

The four are due to be released in June this year with full remission. Their morale, we are told by our friends in Scotland who have visited them, is very good and their determination to go on fighting for the BNP

stronger than ever.

Life 'inside', however, is boring, and the four men are rather short of good reading material. It really would be appreciated if our readers could send them some books and magazines of nationalist interest.

The four men are: Ian McMillan (no. 384-90), Craig Chapman (no. 382-90) and Derek Stevenson (no. 383-90), all at HMP Castle Huntly, near Long Forgan, Dundee; and Brian Ross (no. 6228), at HMP Barlinnie, Riddrie, Glasgow.

Do your best to help these men's remaining time pass as quickly as possible. Remember, their sacrifice is for us!

BNP activists hit Southern towns

THOSE readers who live in the Southern TV region may have seen the *Facing South* programme some months ago in which there was an alarming 'exposé' of BNP development in the Southern counties. Well, the BNP is not ready to take over the South quite yet! But activity is certainly on the increase.

Of late there has been a great deal of leafletting in the region, with Newbury, Reading, Basingstoke and Portsmouth the main targets — thousands of leaflets going out in these areas. Stickers are also going up, and (mostly) remaining up.

And people living in Reading, Basingstoke and Newbury have woken up pleased to find BNP posters adorning walls and bus stops.

An informal meeting has been held to discuss future activities. One of the subjects under discussion was the possibility of a seat in the region being fought in the coming general election.

Sales of *British Nationalist* have not yet been too high. Nevertheless, a recent sale in Newbury town centre went down well, with the locals receiving party activists warmly.

More recently, activists from Basing-

stoke, Bournemouth, Newbury and Winchester joined the Brick Lane paper sales team, helping to prevent the intended aggression planned by the reds in that area.



NEWBURY
BNP activists give out leaflets in town

BNP couple get wed

British *Nationalist* this month sends its congratulations to two British National Party activists who got wed recently. Tony Le Comber, who regularly contributes to our paper under the pen-name of 'Tony Wells', married Judy Haslam at Ilford Registry Office in February. The ceremony was followed by an enjoyable reception at which party friends of the couple were present.

We offer Tony and Judy all our best wishes for a very happy future.

IS THIS WHAT WE WANT IN BRITAIN?

(Contd. from page 4)

machine guns, and the political message — 'Fight the powers that be' — appeals to an underclass that claims to have been poorly served by a white legislature."

There is little wonder that policemen have had death threats. These are taken seriously — and with reason. The recent blasting by a gun of a London detective by a drug dealer from Kilburn shows how violent the situation is getting across Britain. In Manchester tension and civil strife seem constantly to be lurking in the background. One officer despaired: "This isn't Washington. This is Manchester. Things like that aren't supposed to happen here."

But in fact if Britain goes on ignoring the Transatlantic lessons and following the same lunatic gaudy multi-racial path, we have no right to expect anything else.

It is for Britons now to ask themselves whether this is what they want for their country — and, if not, to get up and do something about it.

GOVERNMENT HANDLING OF POLL TAX

(Contd. from page 2)

on higher incomes are likely often to live in more expensive, and therefore more highly taxed, homes. But it is still certain that there are going to be millions of cases of gross injustice in the new tax, due to people of greatly unequal means living in properties that are equally valued.

So in the new tax the Government has displayed about the same level of ineptitude as in the old. But that is not all. In its panic move by which it suddenly slashed £140 per-head off the existing Poll Tax it caused complete chaos and bewilderment in town halls up and down the country, where councils had recently issued tax demands based on the old rates and now had to cancel these in order to issue substitute demands at the new rates. Local treasury department staffs were hardly pleased at this latest development. The Government, now running amok like frightened inhabitants of a chicken run, had made just one more group of enemies — a seemingly impossible task for one with its record!

As we go to press no-one can predict the Government's next move. Indeed, in all probability the Government itself has no idea what that move is going to be, as the eyes of the Prime Minister and his Cabinet dart this way and that watching for the latest signs of how their beloved public is reacting to them.

The whole sorry affair of the Poll Tax has demonstrated, as perhaps no other issue has done, the depressing level of stupidity, incompetence and cowardice — mixed with squalid opportunism — that politics in Britain have now reached. We are afflicted with rulers who would find it an effort to run a booze-up in a brewery — and who, were their junketings interrupted by a few unexpected gatecrashers, would most probably bolt in terror into the night. That is the measure of the leadership now at the helm of national affairs. It is a dismal picture, and at the same time an alarming one. Into what new crisis and chaos are these clowns next going to steer us? The answer is anyone's guess!

RED FLOP AT BRICK LANE

LEFT WINGERS in London, alarmed at the success of the British National Party's progress in the East End, announced a major mobilisation last month to try to stop the party carrying out its routine newspaper sales drive in Brick Lane, with special posters and leaflets printed for the occasion.

The effort, timed for Sunday, the 17th March, turned out to be a spectacular flop where the left was concerned. A scruffy and filthy looking mob, estimated by police and press at about 200, turned up on the day calling itself 'Anti-Fascist Action'. It was met with an orderly and disciplined contingent of BNP activists numbering slightly over 100. Between the two

sides was a large cordon of police — which was just as well for the reds because, as observers commented looking at the physical calibre of the respective factions, had it come to a clash the lefties would have been well and truly massacred, despite a near 2-1 numerical advantage.

All of which made the reds' threat that they would 'smash' the BNP something of a joke!

Having failed to stop the nationalists' paper sale, the 'Anti-Fascist Action' mob then proceeded to the pub up the road where BNP members traditionally refresh themselves after their selling efforts. The hope was that they (the reds) would be able to stop the nationalists from using the pub. As it turned out, police rounded the reds up very quickly and efficiently and rendered them powerless to do anything. Thereupon, the BNP activists walked calmly past them to an alternative watering hole that had been arranged in advance. The police, who did their job well on this occasion, then curtly ordered the AFA crowd to get out of the area.

Richard Edmonds and our Editor, in charge of events on the day, reported bigger-than-average paper sales as a result of local interest whipped up by the reds' threats to 'smash' the BNP.

Furthermore, the day's events won

big publicity in the local press. So, all in all, the opposition did us quite a favour on March 17th — for which *British Nationalist* would like to pass them a vote of thanks!

IN THE LOCAL PAPER
This headline appeared in the East London Advertiser, reporting the confrontation between the BNP and opponents in Brick Lane. The heading deliberately misleads readers by speaking of 'ugly Nazi chants', suggesting that these came from BNP supporters. Only in the small print below is it made clear that the chants were those of the party's opponents, referring to the BNP as 'scum'

Ugly Nazi chants as groups clash



EXTREME Right and Left wing groups hurled abuse at one another when they took part in a verbal slanging match, last Sunday morning.

Nearly 250 members of the radical Anti-Fascist Action group taunted supporters of the British National Party with chants of 'Nazi Scum' during the showdown at the north end of Brick Lane.

Uniformed police officers closed ranks behind steel barriers on the north and south sides of busy Bethnal Green Road in an effort to keep the opposing groups apart.

Ultra-right wing BNP supporters, who had gathered on their regular pitch to sell copies of their newspapers, were joined by several prominent figures of their group including organiser Richard Edmonds.

Large numbers of police officers were drafted to escort members of the Anti-Fascist Action group through the streets of the East End from Whitechapel tube station to the flashpoint in Bethnal Green.

Top cop Chief Inspector Garry Elliott, who was in charge of the operation, said that "the potential for disorder" was always present in such confrontations.

"There were quite a lot of police on duty and I think this deterred those who were interested in violence from causing trouble," said Chief Insp Elliott. "In the end I think the incident went very well from our point of view," he added.

BY JONATHAN PIMM

Moves to prevent BNP members selling their literature in Brick Lane have been examined by the police but were recently shelved.

"It is a bit of the devil you know, if they were prevented from selling their newspapers in Brick Lane they would simply go elsewhere," said Chief Insp Elliott.



UNDER PROTECTION

A part of the left-wing mob at Brick Lane, skulking behind a large police cordon.

Progress in Scotland continues

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY growth in Scotland continues unabated. On the weekend of March 9th/10th the party staged two excellent meetings in Glasgow and Edinburgh which were both addressed by BNP Chairman John Tyndall.

The Glasgow meeting, held on the evening of Saturday, the 9th March, was attended by just under 100 people, all from Scotland. Mr. Tyndall spoke of the corruption rampant in British politics in current times and condemned the action of the Government in committing British forces to a war in the Middle East which was none of Britain's business when there was one on our own doorstep, in Northern Ireland, which had yet to be won.

After Mr. Tyndall spoke there was an excellent collection, and this was followed by a stimulating question time. The meeting was marked by the debut of the new Scottish BNP banner, which had been produced by Scott McLean, who also chaired the meeting.

The Edinburgh meeting, on the following evening, was attended by approximately 60 people. Mr. Tyndall spoke on similar themes to those of the previous evening. Altogether, allowing for some people attending both

meetings, approximately 140 different people in Scotland came to the two events.

Both meetings had been called in replacement to the St. Andrew's Day rally, postponed from last December as a result of a directive from the police after the latter had failed to control a rowdy and violent demonstration by the party's opponents. Another meeting, intended for Cumbernauld on the Sunday afternoon, was not able to take place after the owners of the premises cancelled the party's booking.

On Saturday afternoon, Mr. Tyndall addressed a limited meeting of Scottish party officers in Glasgow in which he dealt with the tasks the BNP would

need to undertake in fighting the two seats in Scotland which it is intended to contest in the general election.

So alarmed are the BNP's opponents at its progress north of the border that the following announcement was made in the March 1991 issue of *Searchlight* magazine:-

"*Searchlight* this month will conduct an extensive tour of Scotland organised by local anti-racist and anti-fascist groups and the National Union of Students Scotland. A major step forward in Scotland in the fight against the British National Party and racism is envisaged."

It's nice to know we've got them worried!

SYMBOL OF PROGRESS

Scott McLean showing at the Glasgow meeting the fine banner he recently made for the Scottish BNP



SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £11.00. 87p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers' order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 79p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.52 post
50 copies £10.00 + £3.15 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.60 post
150 copies £25.00 + £4.15 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.80 post
300 copies £42.00 + £6.05 post
400 copies £55.00 + £6.05 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* entirely separate from other correspondence so as to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.40 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.40 (unsealed surface-mail) to subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign-currency cheques and POs and an additional £2.50 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

Seminars

During the past two months the British National Party has staged training seminars in Yorkshire, Lancashire, the Midlands and Scotland for the purpose of increasing the operational efficiency of branches. A London seminar will be held on April 6th.

VEHICLE FUND CLOSED

Last month's contributions to the Vehicle Fund were much better than of late, with £324.00 raised. This has made it possible to close the fund. Thanks to all readers who contributed!

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally-divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry
- The regeneration of British farming with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality
- A end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW (Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....